RSA No.52 of 1995 alongwith
RSA No.198 of 1995.

20.12. 2010 Present: Mr.Rajesh Mandhotra, Dy.A.G. for the State.
Mr.Dhruv Shaunak, Advocate vice Ms.Ruma Kaushik, counsel for appellant in RSA No.198 of 1995.
Mr.Karan Singh Kanwar, counsel for respondents No.1(a), 8, 9 and proposed LRs of respondents No.2&3.

RSA No.198 of 1995:

Steps in this appeal not taken. Hence, the appeal is dismissed as having been abated.

RSA No.52 of 1995:

In view of the fact that RSA No.198 of 1995 has been dismissed as abated, this appeal by the State which was a proforma defendant in the suit, does not survive. It is however, made clear that if the abatement in RSA No.198 of 1995 filed by the Municipal Council, Nahan is set-aside then this appeal shall also automatically be revived.

Both the appeals are disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

December 20, 2010 (Deepak Gupta), J.
PV